Preserve

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1934
(Muzrai)

File No. 64 34
Serial Nos. 1 & 2

Later References.

Muz 141-35-1-Rx

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for October 34.

SUBJECT.

Question of the inam tenure of [illegible] Kataraya [illegible]

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

Serial No.

It is reported that the lands about 7 acres and 13 guntas in extent have been granted to the above temple which is a Muzrai institution with a cash grant of Rs 57. That the temple has been in possession of these inam lands from 1848, that the holders from time to time have held them adversely to the temple, that the case does not come under the provisions of section 10 of the Madras Religious and Charitable Endowments Act 1927 and that therefore it does not appear possible to resume the inam lands.

The D.C. has proposed to grant the lands to the present holders on payment of half the assessment.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

General and Revenue
Secretariat



Serial No.

9/ Addnl G.O. sent, 5 copies for
orders with reference to para 3 of letter
agreed to by the Govt advocate
BJ 26/9 The Mrs proposed may be
MW 27/9/34 Sanctd.
28/9/34

II Member)

clean draft hereof.
28/9 MW
2/10

SECOND MEMB
2 OCT.
No. 2 5 5a 6.

TYPIST
4. OCT. 1934
CLERK

BJ
29/10/34
MW

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

Form A

of 198 .

General and Revenue Secretaries'

M C 13.]
No. 1321/31-32
Evel 3471 file

9 AUG 1934 MUZRAI COMMISSIONER, MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, ...31/7/1934... .....193 .

Muz 1:7 34-1

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

1/810

.....S. Bas.....Esq., I.A.S. .....
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
.....Finance.....*Department.*

SIR,

Subject:- [illegible]

In forwarding herewith [illegible] Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's [illegible] July 1934 [illegible] on the above subject, I [illegible] state as follows:-

The temple in question [illegible] cash grant of Rs.7/- [illegible]

WORSHIP INAM.

odi Muthur Village	A. G.
Dry	6-07
Wet	0-25
Garden	0- 3

[illegible] paid on these is Rs.8-4-1. [illegible] which [illegible] Superintendent dated 5th August 1904, [illegible] treated as a devaluation [illegible] personal portion is [illegible].

2. It appears from the records [illegible] on mortgage for the first time in 1312 to [illegible] series of [illegible] mortgaged on the [illegible] ar's (Venkatramanappa's) [illegible] states that the temple has been out of possession [illegible] from 1914 and that the other properties [illegible] Archaka to the temple. [illegible]

Major Ma[illegible] Bangalore District, t[illegible]

With reference to [illegible] the [illegible] reports that t[illegible] that there h[illegible] sume the land at this [illegible] to the present [illegible]

The records [illegible] these in lands from [illegible] time have been the [illegible] fall clearly under the [illegible] Charitable Institutions Regulation [illegible] possible to resume the land[illegible].

In these circumstances I [illegible] as already recommended, the difference between the Jodi a the present cultivators [illegible] to the temple.

The connected records [illegible]

"JCII"

[illegible]

[illegible]

Letter No.1331/31-32 dated [illegible]-32 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore to the Deputy Commissioner, [illegible] District.

Subject:- Alienation - Inam lands - Conduct of the Collector in the matter.

With reference to your letter [illegible] on the above subject, I have the honour to observe that it is stated that the inam in question is [illegible] and that it is not recorded in the village and therefore cannot be interfered with, [illegible] on a quit rent register which is not [illegible] inam settlement register available in this office and [illegible] per Memo No.33 dated 5-8-'04 from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements, that this vritti is a hereditary inam for the support of the temple and that enfranchisement pertains only to the personal [illegible] the vrittis. This entry is also in accordance with the orders passed by the Inam Superintendent during the Inam Settlement in 1870 (vide extract enclosed in the records). The case has therefore to be dealt with under Section 10 of the Muzrai Regulation.

2. What exactly is the action to be taken in regard to cases where the alienee is in adverse possession, and no special direction is [illegible] devoted to this question by the local officers. In either case, before he further enquired into and proposals sent up for cancellation of the inam tenure if it is found that the alienee is in adverse possession. If he is not in adverse possession the inam lands may be restored to the institution in accordance with the main issue of the above Section.

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.33-5305/08-2[illegible] dt 16-1-[illegible] from the [illegible] of the Bangalore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner for Mysore.

In forwarding herewith the [illegible] last [illegible] inam lands endowed to Sri [illegible] by [illegible], I have the honor to state that the temple is a Muzrai institution [illegible] cash grant of Rs 5/- per annum. [illegible] tain inam lands in Jodi Mutkur village, [illegible] unserveyed and on enquiry it was found [illegible] lands is as shown in the margin and the [illegible] these is Rs 5-4-11. [illegible] session of these lands and he is reported to have stated [illegible] lands were mortgaged for the first time [illegible] after a series of transfers they [illegible] in 1884 and that the lands fell to his share [illegible] ties were partitioned among his brothers. [illegible] pleaded before the Sub Division Officer [illegible] mortgage. The Sub Division Officer [illegible] been alienated for valuable consideration [illegible] restoration again to the temple or practically [illegible] seem to be desirable now and that in view [illegible] the lands should be cancelled and full [illegible] the difference between the full assessment and [illegible] temple as cash grant after adding on the [illegible] the jodidar for the entire village.

Dry	6-25
Wet	0-25
Garden	0- 3

2. From the quit-rent Register I find that Mutkur [illegible] inam village and the inam lands referred to above [illegible] of the several vrittis to which the village [illegible] vritti was apparently granted for the [illegible] document of 1316 produced by Venkatarama [illegible] reports to have been executed by the other vrittidars [illegible] Girijappa and consequently the [illegible] archak's family. The lands were [illegible] Venkataramanappa for Rs 100/- in 1[illegible]. The [illegible] the inam ten [illegible] not [illegible] the vritti is described [illegible]

Letter No. C3-5335/33-34 dt. 16-1-35 from the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore District, to the Mysore Commissioner in Mysore.

In forwarding herewith the accounts for the last year of the inam lands endowed to Sri Venkataramanaswamy temple, Mutsandra, I have the honor to state that the temple is a Mysore institution in receipt of cash grant of Rs 5/- per annum. The temple is also enjoying certain inam lands in Jodi Muthur village, Magadi taluk. The case was unserved and on enquiry it was found that the inam lands in the village are as shown below:

Dry	6-25	lands is as shown below:
Wet	0-35	
Garden	0- 3	these is Rs 6-11. The Venkataramanappa who now possession of these lands alone is reported to have stated that the lands were mortgaged for the first time in 1812 to one [illegible] after a series of transfers they were finally mortgaged to the temple in 1884 and that the lands fell to his share when the family properties were partitioned among his brothers. The trustee of the temple pleaded before the Sub Division Officer his inability to redeem the mortgage. The Sub Division Officer reports that the inam lands have been alienated for valuable consideration so far back as 1812 that restoration again to the temple practically to the [illegible] does not seem to be desirable now and that in view of this the inam title to the lands should be cancelled and full assessment levied on them, the difference between the full assessment and jodi [illegible] paid to the temple as cash grant after adding on the same to the jodi [illegible] by the jodidar for the entire village.

2. From the Quit-rent Register I find that Muthur is an enfranchised inam village and the inam lands referred to above are stated to be one of the several vrittis to which the village has been split and that this vritti was apparently granted for the maintenance of the temple. The document of 1848 produced by Venkataramanappa is interpolated and purports to have been executed by the other vrittidars in favour of one Giriappa and consequently the inam title cannot be traced to the archak's family. The lands were finally mortgaged to the archak Venkataramanappa for Rs 100/- in 1884. The proposed cancellation of the inam tenure does not appear to be [illegible] since the vritti is described as [illegible]

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:—

Letter No.1321/31-32 dated 7th August 1934 from the Huzrat Commissioner in Mysore recommending in the circumstances stated therein, sanction to the proposal of the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore to confirm, to the present Anubhandars the devadaya lands noted in the margin, given as inam for the support of the ... temple, on payment of full assessment, the difference between the Jodi and the assessment being credited to the temple.

Hoskote taluk. Jodi mukkur Village.	
	A - G
Dry	6 - 25
Wet	0 - 25
Garden	0 - 3

ORDER No. S33-6/Miz 64-34-2

Dated Bangalore the 8th October 1934.

Sanctioned.

for Chief Secretary to Government.

To

The Huzrat Commissioner in Mysore and Coorg.

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

The Comptroller to Government.

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore.

C.R.-6

G.R.S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

....

....

Section Mer

File No. 64-34

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 6/10/1934

Signed by Mr B. Muddukrishnappa

Read—

Letter No 1321/31-32 dated 7.8.1934 from the M.C in Mysore Recommending in the circumstances stated therein, Sanction to the proposal of the D.C Bangalore to the deduction to the pubsent Inamdars the Devadaya lands, rated Confirm

Order thereon.—No ~~action~~ in the margin, given as inam for the support of the Sri Byatarayaswami temple at Horakote, on payment of full assessment, the difference between the jodi and the assessment being credited

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Horakote Tk

Jodi Mukkan Village

Dry A. 6-25

Wet 0-25

Garden 0-3

to the temple

order 533-6 hence forth

Sanctioned

1/10/34 Chief Secy to Govt

The D.C with papers

" R.C in charge

" Comptroller

" D.C Bangalore.

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 73—GBPM—20,000—10-8-31.